

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 22 2005

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT ATTORNEYS

P.O. Box 2938

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (612) 373-6900 Facsimile (612) 339-3061

June 22, 2005

Time: 4:45pm  
(Minneapolis, Minn.)

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
Attn: Ori Nadav  
Patent Examining Corps  
Facsimile Center  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FROM: Timothy B. Clise

OUR REF: 303.367US3

TELEPHONE: 571-272-1660

FAX NUMBER 703-872-9306

\* Please deliver to Examiner Ori Nadav in Art Unit 2811. \*

Document(s) Transmitted: A Response Under 1.116 (10 pages).

Total pages of this transmission, including cover letter: 11 pgs.

If you do NOT receive all of the pages described above, please telephone us at 612-373-6900 or fax us at 612-339-3061.

In re. Patent Application of: Paul A. Farrar et al.

Examiner: Ori Nadav

Serial No.: 10/705,185

Group Art Unit: 2811

Filed: November 11, 2003

Docket No.: 303.367US3

Title: BURIED CONDUCTORS

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

By:   
Name: Timothy B. Clise  
Reg. No.: Reg. No. 40,957

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Tina M. Kohout

6/22/05  
Date of Transmission

JUN 22 2005

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE – EXAMINING GROUP 2811**S/N 10/705,185PATENT**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Paul A. Farrar et al.

Examiner: Ori Nadav

Serial No.: 10/705,185

Group Art Unit: 2811

Filed: November 11, 2003

Docket No.: 303.367US3

Title: BURIED CONDUCTORS

---

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116**

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REMARKS**

Applicant has reviewed the Final Office action mailed March 25, 2005. No claims are amended, canceled, or added; as a result, claims 1-21 remain pending in this application.

**Specification Objection**

Applicant respectfully submits that the specification does provide enablement commensurate with the scope of Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11-12, 15-16, 19-21, as was discussed in detail in the previous response dated 5 January, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference, for at least the following reasons and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

The Examiner states on Office Action page 2, third line that “a capacitor” is not found in the specification. Applicant respectfully disagrees and submits that on page 6, line 2, with regard to the embodiment of figure 1(b), it states the “... deep trench capacitor...”, which fulfills the enablement requirement for the selected embodiment of claims 1-21. Further, the recited “parallel buried conductive elements” may be found on page 5, line 27 and in figure 1(b). This was discussed in the previous response dated 5 January, 2005, to which the Examiner has not responded other than by stating on page 9 that “there is support in the embodiments of figures 1(a), 1(c) and 2a-2b for the claimed limitations, as recited in claims 1-2, there is not support in the elected embodiment of figure 1(b)”. Applicant has shown above that the limitations of claim 1 are clearly enumerated in the very section of the specification’s discussion of the embodiment